Determinants of Oral Health Assessment and Screening in Physician Assistant Clinical Practice

Presented by: Margaret Langelier, MSHSA Oral Health Workforce Research Center Center for Health Workforce Studies School of Public Health, University at Albany, SUNY

June 24, 2017 Academy Health Conference New Orleans, Louisiana



Acknowledgements

- Co-Authors:
 - Simona Surdu, Senior Research Associate, Oral Health Workforce Research Center
 - Jingya Gao, Graduate Research Assistant, Center for Health Workforce Studies
 - Anita Duhl Glicken, MSW, Program consultant for the National Interprofessional Initiative on Oral Health
- The authors wish to acknowledge:
 - The American Academy of Physician Assistants (AAPA) for their continuing support and input to this project.
- Funding from:
 - Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA grant U81HP27843)



Background

- Integration of oral health (OH) with primary care was a theoretical goal in the Surgeon General's Report, *Oral Health in America*, 2000.
- This has resulted in calls for medical professionals to incorporate OH assessment, counseling, and early intervention into their routine clinical activities.
- A recent Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) report, *Oral Health Strategic Framework 2014-2017*, describes the challenges of and offers a "framework" for integrating OH with primary health care.
- Educating physician assistant (PA) students about the relations between systemic health and OH, and providing them with clinical competencies in OH screening, assessment, and referral services is consistent with the goals of the framework.
- Although OH education is now more available to PAs than in the past, no study has yet explored whether training in OH during professional education translates to actual provision of OH services.



Background (cont.)

- In January 2014, we conducted an online survey of PA education program directors of the 182 ARC-PA accredited education programs in the US
- The survey asked about provision of oral health education, the depth of integration or oral health topics into the curricula, the areas of study in which this education was integrated, and any opportunities for interprofessional education
- PA education commonly included instruction about oral health and disease into existing subject areas (72.4%), in stand alone lectures (53.1%), and through use of an online curriculum (31.6%).¹
- Most programs that provided oral health education provided didactic instruction (95%) and many (61%) also provided clinical training in oral health screening and assessment.

¹Langelier et al. Adoption of oral health curriculum by physician assistant education programs in 2014. J Physician Assist Educ 2015;26(2)60-69.



Hypothesis & Objectives

- The hypothesis for this study was that PAs who were educated about OH assessment were more likely than others to provide assessments in their clinical practice.
- **The objectives** of this study were to:
 - Assess if prior education in OH competencies impacted PAs' decision to include OH services in clinical practice
 - Evaluate whether PA specialty and setting was a determinant of OH screening activities
- This study was conducted by the Oral Health Workforce Research Center (OHWRC) in cooperation with the American Academy of Physician Assistants (AAPA).
- The research for this work was supported by funding from the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).



Methods

Study Sample

- The study sample consisted of 2,500 PAs who had graduated from a PA professional education program in 2014.
- The sample was drawn from a database supplied by AAPA that included 6,100 PAs who graduated from one of the 166 PA accredited professional education programs in the US in 2014.
- The number of PAs selected for inclusion in the sample from each education program was weighted by the number of graduates from a program relative to the total number of PAs nationally.



Methods (Cont')

Survey Instrument

- The survey was developed based on the insights and suggestions provided by PA educators and researchers, as well as recent graduates of PA education programs during 12 pre-survey interviews.
- The interviews were conducted using a small number of key questions to elicit opinions about the facilitators and barriers to integration of OH services into a PA's clinical practice.
- The final survey instrument consisted of 14 questions about PA's:
 Education and training in OH competencies,
 - Implementation of OH screening activities in clinical practice,
 - o General inquiries into specialty, practice setting, and geography.

Survey Administration

• The survey was web-based (built on the Qualtrics platform) and was open for 3 months.



Data Analysis & Response Rate

Data Analysis

- Survey data was cleaned and analyzed using SAS 9.4 software.
- Descriptive statistics and multivariable logistic regression models were used to identify predictors of integration of OH services into PA clinical practice.

Survey Response Rate

- Despite efforts to encourage survey participation, including frequent email reminders, an incentive for participation, and leaving the survey open for 3 months, the response rate was quite low.
- In total, 304 PAs of the 2,402 PAs with valid contact information responded to the survey for a 12.6% response rate.



Results

PAs Education in OH Competencies (n=294)

Sources of PAs' Education in OH	n	%
PA Education Program ^a	219	74.5%
Integrated into one or several curriculum topics	123	56.2%
Stand-alone lectures	116	53.0%
Inter-professional OH training	36	16.4%
Completion of an online curriculum	20	9.1%
Service learning activities	17	7.8%
Other Sources ^a	58	19.7%
Continuing education courses	13	22.4%
Self-study	13	22.4%
On-line education	8	13.8%
In-service training	6	10.3%
Professional conferences	6	10.3%

^a n=46 PAs received OH education from both PA Education Program & other sources; n=63 reported no OH education.



PAs Integration of OH Services into Clinical Practice and Frequency of Providing OH Services (n=105)

PA's Providing OH Services	n	%	
Providing OH services in their clinical practice: Yes/No	105/189	35.7%/64.3%	
Type of services <i>"often/always"</i> provided to patients			
Refer to a dental provider when needed	76	72.4%	
Examine and assess the oral cavity	71	67.6%	
Assess for oral manifestations of systemic disease	53	50.5%	
Educate about personal oral hygiene	52	49.5%	
Type of patients <i>"often/always"</i> examined and assessed			
Patients with a complaint about oral cavity	85	81.0%	
Patients who smoke	54	51.4%	
Patients with diabetes	53	50.5%	
Patients who indicate no usual dental provider	51	48.6%	



Characteristics of PA respondents by Integration of OH Services into Clinical Practice (n=294)

	Providing OH Services			χ ²	
Characteristics of PAs	Yes (n=105)		No (n=1	No (n=189)	
	n	%	n	%	P-value
Education in OH Competencies					0.0118
No	14	13.3%	49	25.9%	
Yes	91	86.7%	140	74.1%	
Practice Specialty					<0.0001
Other specialty ^a	24	22.9%	117	61.9%	
Primary medicine/urgent care ^b	81	77.1%	72	38.1%	
Work Setting Type					0.63
Inpatient	62	59.1%	105	56.2%	
Outpatient/office practice	43	41.0%	82	43.9%	
Work Setting Location					0.79
Urban	45	42.9%	77	40.7%	
Suburban	38	36.2%	81	42.9%	
Rural	22	21.0%	31	16.4%	

^a Surgical and sub-surgical specialties, anesthesiology, radiology, etc.

^b Family medicine/general practice, internal medicine, pediatrics, obstetrics/gynecology, or emergency medicine/urgent care.



Associations Between Delivery of OH Services in Clinical Practice and PAs' Education in OH, Specialty, and Work Setting (n=292)

Predictor ^a	n	OR	95% CI	P-value
Education in OH Competencies				
No	14	1.00	Reference	
Yes	91	2.78	1.38-5.59	0.0043
Practice Specialty				
Other specialty ^b	24	1.00	Reference	
Primary medicine/urgent care ^c	81	6.94	3.82-12.62	<0.0001
Work Setting Type				
Inpatient	62	1.00	Reference	
Outpatient/office practice	43	0.65	0.36-1.15	0.14

^a Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) adjusted for all other variables in the table.

^b Surgical and sub-surgical specialties, anesthesiology, radiology, etc.

^c Family medicine/general practice, internal medicine, pediatrics, obstetrics/gynecology, or emergency medicine/urgent care.



Relative Importance of Facilitators and Barriers to Integration of OH Services into PAs' Clinical Practice

Opinions and Attitudes	n	%
Facilitators Perceived as <i>"Important/Very Important" (n</i> =105)		
Medical professionals must feel competent to provide services	96	91.4%
Education for medical clinicians must be available	95	90.5%
Commercial insurance plans must reimburse services	93	88.6%
Medicaid program must reimburse for oral health services	89	84.8%
Barriers Perceived as "Significant/Very Significant" (n=296)		
Time demands	167	56.8%
Lack of patient adherence to recommendations about oral health and oral hygiene limit effectiveness	146	49.7%
Lack of access to a dental provider referral system	134	45.6%
Lack of reimbursement for oral health services	124	42.2%



Conclusions

- While these results are difficult to generalize due to the low participation rate, the study provides interesting insights about the integration of OH assessment into clinical practice.
- The study results suggest that PAs training in OH competencies during their education is important and may increase the likelihood of providing OH services. The results also suggest that misperceptions within the medical community about the importance of OH screening persist, especially in medical and surgical specialties.
- **Continuing education** would be an appropriate vehicle for instruction in OH. While **online resources** providing both didactic and clinical instruction in OH screening (eg, Smiles for Life) already exist, it may be that PAs are unaware of their availability.
- While *lack of patient adherence to recommendations about OH* is an **important barrier**, it is also a primary reason why provision of OH services in medical practice is important. PAs are well positioned to inform their patients about why OH matters.
- The survey results also suggest that despite general interest of policymakers, advocates, and stakeholders in integrating OH with medical services, numerous structural barriers within delivery systems impede integration.
- Ongoing education within the medical community and changes in reimbursement policies, medical record design, and referral networks will be needed to foster further adoption of OH screening by medical providers.



Questions?

Contact Information:

Simona Surdu, MD, PhD Margaret Langelier, MSHSA Oral Health Workforce Research Center Center for Health Workforce Studies School of Public Health | University at Albany, SUNY SSurdu@Albany.edu; MLangelier@Albany.edu

