

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Understanding patients' concerns and expectations regarding hospitals is crucially important for providers and administrators attempting to provide better service. In the era of big data, as a large and increasing amount of unsolicited feedback is provided on crowd-sourced review websites, the information required for such attempts becomes more accessible and affordable.

Methods: A web crawler was created to retrieve ratings and comments on hospital visits from Google Maps, based on a list of all hospitals in the US obtained from Data.gov. Due to API limitations, only the most recent 5 individual comments and ratings were retrieved. In total, 26,141 individual ratings and comments for 5,888 hospitals in the US were studied. Comments were classified into 3 groups: positive (rating  $\geq$ 4), neutral (rating=3) and negative (rating  $\leq$ 2). The top 20 most frequent 2-gram phrases in positive and negative comments were identified and analyzed. A non-supervised machine learning algorithm, K-mean text clustering analysis, was performed on the negative comments. Four clusters were created, and the 10 most representative words of each cluster were identified and analyzed.

**Key Findings:** Among the top 20 most frequent 2-gram phrases in negative comments, 3 were about waiting times and 3 were about service quality. Among the top 20 most frequent 2gram phrases in positive comments, 6 referred to the care provided and 6 mentioned hospital staff. Topics of the 4 clusters among negative comments were identified: waiting time and appointments, insurance and billing issues, bad experiences of senior family members, and poor service quality.

**Conclusions and Implications:** To provide more satisfactory service, hospitals may wish to reduce waiting times, improve billing and payment processes, make facilities more accessible for older patients, and improve service quality. Moreover, hospitals may wish to make patients feel they are receiving excellent and friendly care from hospital staff. Patients' feedback on crowd-sourced review websites is a useful data source for understanding patients' concerns and expectations. Text mining techniques dramatically improve the efficiency of classifying patients' feedback and identifying their underlying meaning. Hospital administrators should value and utilize their patients' feedback on the internet.

## CONTACT

Center for Health Workforce Studies

518-402-0250 info@chwsny.org www.chwsny.org



- affordable to collect

- neutral and negative
- negative comments

### **Figure 1. Example of Online Hospital Reviews** 39 reviews ria College Sort by: Most relevant ∽ Mr. Vacca Sisters of Mercy Local Guide · 17 reviews · 26 photos Care For Kids ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ a week ago The nurses and doctors at this hospital are miracle workers. I can't speak highly enough about the St. Peter's Hospit 20 min drive - h facilities, the kindness of the staff and support from everyone...even the parking attendant! I highly recommend this hospital if you are looking for a St. Peter's Hospital place to have your baby! Foundation Barats Family Dentistry St. Peter's Nursing and Share 1 Rehabilitation Center Natalie Rumsey Local Guide · 17 reviews ★★★★★ a month ago I brought my child in after a fall down the stairs that injured his mouth. The doctor immediately began

5	
4	-
3	
2	•
1	





# **Understanding Patients' Concerns and Expectations About Hospitals** By Mining Feedback Data

## INTRODUCTION

Understanding patients' concerns and expectations regarding hospitals is crucially important for providers and administrators attempting to provide better service

As a large and increasing amount of unsolicited feedback is provided on crowd-sourced review websites, information becomes more accessible and

The purpose of this study is to better understand patients' concerns and expectations about hospitals by analyzing feedback data collected on the internet

## METHODS

A web crawler was created to retrieve ratings and comments on hospital visits from Google Maps, based on a list of all hospitals in the US obtained from Data.gov

In total, 26,141 individual ratings and comments for 5,888 hospitals in the US were studied

Comments were classified into 3 groups: positive,

Top 20 most frequent 2-gram phrases in positive and negative comments were identified and analyzed

K-mean text clustering analysis was performed on

Four clusters were created, and the 10 most representative words of each cluster were identified and analyzed

### **Classification of Ratings and Comments**

- Individual rating  $\geq 4$

### Figure 2. Distribution of Ratings and Comments (N=26,141)

52.3%

### Negative

### Figure 3. Top 20 Most Frequent 2-gram Terms in Positive and Negative Comments and Their Frequencies

**Positive Comments** 

highly recommend emergency room doctors (and) nurses great care excellent care nursing staff nurses doctors staff friendly good care recommend hospital hospital staff great experience best hospital

make sure medical center staff great great staff taken care

best care

care received

Terms in orange and blue help to better understand commentators' concerns and experiences

Yuhao Liu, MPA Center for Health Workforce Studies, School of Public Health, University at Albany

## RESULTS

- Individual rating  $\leq 2$   $\longrightarrow$  Negative rating & comment
- - Positive rating & comment



### **Negative Comments**

	639	emergency room	771
	557	waiting room	681
	499	worst hospital	470
	478	sent home	<u>392</u>
	451	don('t) know	382
	<u>413</u>	year old	342
	<u>413</u>	don('t) care	300
	<u>392</u>	family member	300
	381	went (to) er	273
	373	loved ones	254
	355	treated like	254
	341	blood pressure	249
	322	care (about) patients	247
	311	make sure	245
	306	feel like	236
-	297	urgent care	234
	290	waited hours	222
	289	waste time	216
	271	worst experience	208
	268	customer service	202
1			

## **RESULTS (cont.)**

Figure 4. Top Representative V			
1 <sup>st</sup> Cluster	2 <sup>nd</sup> Cluster		
insurance	wait		
billing	hour		
рау	seen		
department	waited		
bills	minutes		
paid	appointment		
collections	long		
payment	30		
received	finally		
company	blood		

## DISCUSSION

- about service quality
- comments, 6 referred to the care provided and 6 mentioned hospital staff
- were identified: waiting time and appointments, family members, and poor service quality

## CONCLUSIONS

- To provide more satisfactory service, hospitals may wish to reduce waiting times, improve billing and older patients, and improve service quality
- and expectations
- Text mining techniques dramatically improve the efficiency of classifying patients' feedback and identifying their underlying meaning
- patients' feedback on the internet

Hospital administrators should value and utilize their

Patients' feedback on crowd-sourced review websites is a useful data source for understanding patients' concerns

Hospitals may also wish to make patients feel they are receiving excellent and friendly care from hospital staff

payment processes, make facilities more accessible for

Topics of the 4 clusters among negative comments insurance and billing issues, bad experiences of senior

Among the 20 most frequent 2-gram terms in positive

Among the 20 most frequent 2-gram terms in negative comments, 3 were about waiting times and 3 were

Topics were decided mainly based on the words in red

Representative words indicate topics of each cluster

